



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,843	09/30/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14823US02	6899

23446 7590 06/14/2007
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD
500 WEST MADISON STREET
SUITE 3400
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

MANIWANG, JOSEPH R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2144

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/14/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/675,843	Applicant(s) KARAOGUZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Joseph R. Maniwang	Art Unit 2144	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner:
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the reference element numbers do not match the description in the Specification. For example, compare Fig. 1 and paragraphs [46] and [47]. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 2144

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 6-11, 17-22, and 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

4. Claims 6, 17, and 28 recite the limitation "a second rule". Claim 1 does not recite a "first rule". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 7, 8, 18, 19, 29, and 30 are rejected under the same reasoning.

5. Claims 9, 20, and 31 recite the limitation "a third rule". Claim 1 does not recite a "first rule" or a "second rule". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 11, 22, and 33 are rejected under the same reasoning.

6. Claims 10, 21, and 32 recite the limitation "said at least a third rule". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fritsch (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2002/0124258).

9. Regarding claims 1, 12, 23, and 34, Fritsch disclosed a method and system comprising automatically transferring at least one of media, data and service to a view of at least one of a first media processing system and a first personal computer within the distributed media network ("media delivery center receives media-rich broadcasts", see paragraph [0028], [0031], [0033]); and automatically routing said automatically transferred at least one of media, data and service from said view of said at least one of said first media processing system and said first personal computer to a view of at least one of a second media processing system and a second personal computer ("media programs are delivered to output devices by a media delivery system", see paragraph [0028], [0031], [0033]).

10. Regarding claims 2, 13, and 24, Fritsch disclosed the method and system further comprising consuming said routed at least one of media, data and service by said at least one of said second media processing system and said second personal computer (see paragraph [0039]).

11. Regarding claims 3, 14, and 25, Fritsch disclosed the method and system further comprising controlling said consumption by said at least one of said second media processing system and said second personal computer by utilizing at least a first rule (see paragraph [0032], [0040]).

12. Regarding claims 4, 15, and 26, Fritsch disclosed the method and system further comprising scheduling said consumption of said at least one of said media, data and

service by said at least one of said second media processing system and said second personal computer utilizing said at least a first rule (see paragraph [0032], [0040]).

13. Regarding claims 5, 16, and 27, Fritsch disclosed the method and system wherein said at least a first rule is a consumption rule (see paragraph [0032], [0040]).

14. Regarding claims 6, 17, and 28, Fritsch disclosed the method and system further comprising controlling said automatic transfer by utilizing at least a second rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).

15. Regarding claims 7, 18, and 29, Fritsch disclosed the method and system further comprising pre-defining said at least one second rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).

16. Regarding claims 8, 19, and 30, Fritsch disclosed the method and system wherein said at least a second rule is a transfer rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).

17. Regarding claims 9, 20, and 31, Fritsch disclosed the method and system further comprising controlling said automatic routing utilizing at least a third rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).

18. Regarding claims 10, 21, and 32, Fritsch disclosed the method and system further comprising predefining said at least a third rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).

19. Regarding claims 11, 22, and 33, Fritsch disclosed the method and system wherein said at least a third rule is a routing rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Saxena (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2004/0024886)


Pendakur (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2003/0135553)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R. Maniwang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3928. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William C. Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JM


WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100